

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,575	03/25/2004	Kuniyuki Tani	65933-081 5532		
7590 08/10/2005 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			EXAMINER		
			CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/808,57	5	TANI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Terry D. C	unningham	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAIL  - Extensions of after SIX (6)  - If the period  - If NO period  - Failure to re  Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ceived by the Office later than three months after the nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no events on. The areply within the statue of the statue of the statue of the apply and wistatute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>21 June 2005</u> .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		This action is n	on-final.	•				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	f Claims		•					
4a) C 5)∭ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)⊠ Clair	4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7,9,11-14,16,18,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 6,8,10,15,17 and 19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application P	apers		•					
10)⊠ The d Appli Repl	specification is objected to by the Exadrawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/a icant may not request that any objection to accement drawing sheet(s) including the contact or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)  accep o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been received a 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)	·							
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S)/Mail Date			atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,575

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Summary of changes in this action

1. The response overcome the outstanding informality rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country; more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Curd (USPN 5,650,672). Curd discloses, in Fig. 2, a circuit comprising: "a driving circuit (everything in Fig. 2 except the circuit providing IN and the inverter)" each having "a CMOS transistor (the top two transistors in each branch)" and "a switching element (between each CMOS transistor pair and ground)"; and "a control unit (IN and the inverter)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,575

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 11-14, 16, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Signell et al. (USPN 6,028,546) in view of Curd (USPN 5,650,672). Signell et al. disclose, in Fig. 4, a pipeline analog-to-digital conversion comprising: "an amplifier unit (32-4) which repeats and auto-zero operation and an amplification operation alternately" and "bias voltage generating circuit (not show, but disclosed as biasing the circuit of Fig. 4)". However, the reference to Signell et al. does not expressly disclose details for the "bias voltage generating circuit". The above discussed "bias voltage generating circuit" to Curd is disclosed as having the advantage of provide efficient dual-mode operation allowing for power savings. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the specific "bias voltage generating circuit" of Curd for the broadly disclosed "bias voltage generating circuit" of Signell et al. to obtain the expected advantage of efficient dual-mode operation allowing for power savings.

Claims 6, 8, 10, 15, 17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above art rejections and has not found them to be persuasive. Firstly, Examiner points out that based on the whole body of case law that Applicant is too narrowly interpreting the cited case law.

Applicant argues concerning the language reciting that the "control unit" "switches a current driving capability of the driving unit according to a variation in an amount of current required for the load in a period for applying the bias voltage to the load". Clearly, the reference to Curd "switches the "driving capability of the driving unit according" to IN. The claims fail to claim or disclose any circuitry for determining the "variation in an amount of current required for the load in a period for applying the bias voltage to the load". It is clear from the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/808,575

Art Unit: 2816

that the disclosed operation is merely providing a predetermine state to the "control unit", such that the circuit operates as intended. Examiner contends that the circuit to Curd is <u>capable</u> of providing this recited functional language.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2816

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC August 2, 2005 Terry D. Cunnings Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816